

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/717,610	11/21/2003		Gi Hyeong Do	9988.071.00-US	8195	
30827	7590 10/18/2006			EXAMINER		
MCKENNA 1900 K STRI		& ALDRIDGE LL	GRAVINI, STEP	GRAVINI, STEPHEN MICHAEL		
WASHINGTON, DC 20006				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2740			

DATE MAILED: 10/18/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Supplemental

Application No.	Applicant(s)	<u> </u>	
10/717,610	DO, GI HYEONG		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Stephen Gravini	3749		

Advisory Action	10/717,610	DO, GI HYEONG					
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Stephen Gravini	3749					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addi	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 13 March 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	ALLOWANCE.					
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1:114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:</li> <li>a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.</li> </ol>							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN							
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	06.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL							
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).  AMENDMENTS							
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because							
<ul> <li>(a) ∑ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);</li> <li>(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);</li> <li>(c) ∑ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for</li> </ul>							
appeal; and/or	tter form for appear by materially re	ducing or simplifying t	THE ISSUES TO				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.  NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).							
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		omoliant Amendment (	PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		mphane / amonamone (					
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).		timely filed amendme	nt canceling the				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.							
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>18 and 15</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>9-14</u> .	Claim(s) rejected: 1-8 and 15.						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	It before or on the date of filing a North d sufficient reasons why the affidate	otice of Appeal will <u>not</u> vit or other evidence is	t be entered necessary and				
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).							
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER							
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.			ice because:				
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 13. ☐ Other:							
	Q	Flesh Shir					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The amended claims change the scope and breadth of the invention such that the Office necessitates a new search and/or further consideration.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The applicant argues patentability of an amended claim that has changed the scope of the rejected claim, such that prosecution would have to be re-opened to consider patentability. Furthermore, applicant asserts patentability by cumulatively arguing a repeated assetion of patentability. The prior Office action is considered proper and prosecution on the merits is closed.